

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,886	07/20/2004	Kenichi Kajiwara	042599	6566
	7590 12/19/200 F, HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			NGUYEN, NINH H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	
		-,, ,		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DÉLIVERY MODE	
. 3 MONTHS		12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		λT	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/501,886	KAJIWARA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ninh H. Nguyen	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
,			
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 July 2004 and 27 Ap</u>	<u>oril 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ acce	pted or b)☐ objected to by the	
Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	oplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	•	<b>y</b>	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) 🔯 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/501,886

Art Unit: 3745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to the Request for Continued Examination dated 18 October 2006.

## Withdrawal of Finality

The Office Action mailed 20 November 2006 was inadvertently made final. As a result, the November 20 2006 Office Action is hereby vacated and this Office Action is outstanding.

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiang (5,328,332) in view of Guida et al. (5,336,050).

Chiang discloses an impeller (Figs. 4-10) having a disk-like main plate 2, a blade 12 joined to said main plate, and a side plate 13 having a suction port, a hole 21 is formed in a central portion of said main plate for attaching the impeller to a drive shaft (Fig. 9), wherein a step portion is formed around the hole (Fig. 9), said step portion constituting means 24 and 25

for forming a gap so that when a plurality of main plates are piled on one another, said gap is formed by only contacting said step portions of adjacent main plates to each other to thereby prevent said adjacent main plates from being adhered to each other; and a radially inner end portion of the blade which is joined to the main plate is rounded near a portion at which the blade is joined to the main plate (Fig. 9).

However, Chiang does not disclose a boss hole formed in the central portion of the main plate for attaching a boss which engages with a drive shaft as claimed.

Guida et al. teach a centrifugal fan (Fig. 4) comprising a main plate 15, a plurality of blades 19 attached to the main plate, a side plate 17, a boss hole formed in the central portion of the main plate for attaching a boss 23 to the main plate by a bolts 33.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the impeller of Chiang with a separate boss attached to a boss hole formed in the central portion of the main plate as an expedience to attach the impeller to the drive shaft.

Regarding claim 2, Chiang in view of Guida discloses all the limitations except the gap is not set to be in the range of 0.3mm to 0.4mm as claimed.

Since the applicant has not disclosed that having the gap being set in the range of 0.3mm to 0.4 mm solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose above the fact that the gap is formed when a plurality of main plates, each with a step portion formed around the boss hole, stacked one on top of another, and it appears that the modified impeller of Chiang would perform equally well with the gap dimension as defined claimed by applicant, it would have been an

Art Unit: 3745

obvious matter of design choice to modify the modified impeller of Chiang by utilizing the specific gap dimension as claimed.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, the modified impeller of Chiang in view of Guida shows all the limitations including the fact that the side plate is cast from plastic or metal. However, the main plate of the modified impeller is not a single piece of molded metal as claimed.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the modified fan of Chiang with the main plate being cast from a metal as an expedience to form the main plate.

4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiang in view of Guida et al. as applied to claims 1 and 3 above and in further view of Brock (2,228,750).

Chiang in view of Guida et al. discloses all the limitations except the fan is not a multistage fan as claimed.

Brock teaches a multistage centrifugal fan (Fig. 2) comprising a plurality of fan stages for increasing suction of the fan (page 4, left column, lines 46-49), each fan stage comprising an impeller 35 housed in respective intermediate casing and a shaft 24 supporting the impellers.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the modified fan of Chiang with a multistage configuration for the purpose of increasing suction of the fan as taught by Brock.

Art Unit: 3745

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Ninh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4823. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look, can be reached at (571) 272-4820. The fax number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, please go to http://pair-direct.uspto.gov or contact the Electronic Business center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NINH H. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Nhn

December 11, 2006